

Appln. No. 09/890,297
Amdt. Dated April 18, 2003
Reply to Office action of February 20, 2003

Attorney File No.: CE0253 US

4/23/03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: H. Van Urk et al.

Art Unit: 1637

Serial No.: 09/890,297

Examiner: Strzelecka, T. E.

Filing Date: 01/04/2002

Atty. File No.: CE0253 US

Title: Process

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being facsimile transmitted on the date shown below, addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Art Unit 1637, Washington, D.C. 20231, Facsimile No (703) 308-4242.

Date: 18 APRIL 2003
Linda J. Remer

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

**REPLY TO REQUIREMENT FOR RESTRICTION UNDER 35 USC 121 AND 372
WITH AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.111**

Sir:

Introductory Comments

The subject application is a PCT National Phase Application filed under 35 USC 371. In the Office Action dated February 20, 2003, the examiner has required restriction and election under 37 CFR 1.499 among Groups I-V because, under PCT Rule 13.1, the inventions of original claims 1-53 are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, that is, they lack unity of invention. Applicants elect the subject matter of the examiner's Group III, claims 20, 43 and 44, without traverse. New claims 54-113, presented below are drawn to the elected subject matter.

This amendment paper is submitted under the Pre-OG Notice entitled "Amendments in a Revised Format Now Permitted", last modified 02/24/03.

Applicants respectfully request a one month extension of time to respond under 37 CFR 1.136, extending the due date from March 20, 2003 to April 20, 2003. The fee for the extension of time is included in the attached fee sheet.

Appln. No.09/890,297
Amdt. Dated April 18, 2003
Reply to Office action of February 20, 2003

Attorney File No.: CE0253 US

Applicants note that the examiner incorrectly states that the claims under consideration are claims 1-53. Claims 4-7, 10-19, 21-42, and 47-52 were cancelled by Applicants' Preliminary Amendment. The Official Filing Receipt reflects the cancellation of these claims in that the total claims paid for was listed therein as 9. Thus, the Examiner's objections to claims 6, 7, 12-19, 21-42 and 47-52 were and remain moot.

An Amendment to the Title begins on page 3 of this paper

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 4 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 15 of this paper.